

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,260	03/28/2006	William Woulds		9740	
Vincent L. Rar	7590 08/14/200 mik	8	EXAM	IINER	
Diller, Ramik	& Wight	TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS			
7345 McWhor Suite 101	ter Place	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Annandale, V	A 22003	3725			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,260	WOULDS, WILLIAM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EDWARD TOLAN	3725		

	EDWARD TOLAN	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN (6) MONTH'S from the nating date of the communication. - If NO period for mply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of reply within the set (SC, § 133).							
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	date of this communication, even if timely filed	, may reduce any					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowan 	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
222 3.2 2.2.2.2.2 2.2.3.100 Onto determine a list of the defined depression for recontrol.							
Attachment(s)	n□	(DTO 110)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CS) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-28-2006.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/574,260

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the ram" in lines 3 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A ram is not set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheers et al. (5,692,409). Cheers discloses an ironing tool set (8) comprising dies (1,3) having inserts (6) for ironing a can wall and coolant dies (5,10) adjacent the ironing dies (1,3). The coolant dies (5,10) have internal cavities (11,12) for circulating coolant.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,260

Art Unit: 3725

Each of the cavities (11,12) has a wide inlet and an outlet restriction spraying coolant adjacent the inserts (6). The die face facing a can wall where the coolant is sprayed through the coolant dies (5,10) adjacent inserts (6) as shown in figure 1 forms a cooling face

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Cheers et al. (5,692,409). in view of Scholey (6,776,021). Cheers does not disclose a
vacuum port for removal of debris. Scholey teaches that it is known to remove debris
via a vacuum port (44). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time
of invention to provide Cheers with a debris collection port as taught by Scholey in order
to continuously clear debris through the coolant system.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheers et al. (5,692,409) in view of Main (4,223,544). Regarding claims 6 and 7, Cheers discloses a system (15,16,17) for biasing a cooling face against an ironing die comprising pistons (15) resiliently mounted on the dies, the pistons being activated by fluid pressure (column 4, lines 34-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,260

Art Unit: 3725

Cheers does not disclose that a cooling face is inclined toward a die insert. Main teaches that lubrication/cooling die (42) has an inclined face (54) towards an adjacent die insert (34). Main teaches debris washing by jet nozzles (col. 3, lines 5-10 and 55-60). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incline the cooling face of Cheers toward the die insert as taught by Main in order to focus the flow near the die insert.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheers et al. (5,692,409) in view of Blue (6,598,450). Cheers does not disclose a cooled punch. Blue teaches that it is known to have inner (8) and outer (10) tubes in a ram (4) for the purpose of cooling the ram. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Cheers with ram cooling means as taught by Blue in order to control a temperature of the punch.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

/Edward Tolan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725